This article was downloaded by: On: 29 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Supramolecular Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713649759>

Robust Heteromeric Hydrogen-bonded Self-assemblies Based on $[M(H_2blim)_2(H_2O)_{\rm chn<1/2}]^2$ (M=Cd², Co², Zn²; n=1, 2) Building Blocks and **Carboxylates**

Bing-Bing Ding^a; Yan-Qin Weng^a; Ying Cui^a; Xiao-Ming Chen^a; Bao-Hui Ye^a a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China

To cite this Article Ding, Bing-Bing, Weng, Yan-Qin, Cui, Ying, Chen, Xiao-Ming and Ye, Bao-Hui(2005) 'Robust Heteromeric Hydrogen-bonded Self-assemblies Based on $\left[M(H_2^{\dagger}biim)_{2}(H_2O)_{\Delta_{\text{bin}/\text{is}}^2} \right]^2$ (M=Cd², Co², Zn²; n=1, 2) Building Blocks and Carboxylates', Supramolecular Chemistry, $17: 6$, $475 - 483$

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10610270500225081 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10610270500225081>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Robust Heteromeric Hydrogen-bonded Self-assemblies Based on $[M(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_n]^2$ ⁺ (M = Cd²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺; $n = 1$, 2) Building Blocks and Carboxylates

BING-BING DING, YAN-QIN WENG, YING CUI, XIAO-MING CHEN and BAO-HUI YE*

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China

Received (in Southampton, UK) 26 May 2005; Accepted 12 June 2005

Four new complexes $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2](Hbdc)_2$. (H_2biim) ·2H₂O (1), $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)](bdc)$ ·CH₃OH (2), $[Co(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]$ (Hbtc)·4H₂O (3) and $[Cd(H_2biim)_2]$ $(H_2O)(bdc)$ [[] H_2O (4) (where H_2b iim = 2,2'-biimidazole, $bdc = 1,3-benzenedicarboxylate$ and $btc = 1,3,5-benze$ netricarboxylate) have been synthesized and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The $[M(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_n]^2$ ²⁺ building blocks are assembled with carboxylates via charge-assisted $N-H...O$ and O $-H \cdots N$ hydrogen bonds (N \cdots O = 2.607 \sim 2.926 A, $\angle N$ –H–O = 151 \sim 176°) into 1-D ribbons (1–3) and 3-D network (4). The ribbons are further arranged into 3-D networks via hydrogen bonds between carboxylate and water molecules as well as the free H_2 biim molecule (1) or methanol molecule (2). In complex 2, the $CH₃OH$ molecules are clathrated in the cavities. The results show that three robust heteromeric hydrogen-bonded synthons, namely $R_2^2(9)$, $R_2^1(7)$ and $C(4)[R_2^2(9)]$, can be exploited to assemble the $[M(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_n]^2$ ⁺ $(M = Cd, Co and Zn, n = 1, 2)$ building blocks with dicarboxylates and tricarboxylate into multi-dimensional networks.

Keywords: 2,2'-Biimidazole; 1,3-Benzenedicarboxylate; 1,3,5-Benzenetricarboxylate; Hydrogen bond; Crystal engineering

INTRODUCTION

The assembly of coordination polymers has been a great growth in supramolecular chemistry due to their structural diversities and potential applications as new materials [1–6]. The control of dimensionality is still a major challenge in the design and synthesis of organic–inorganic frameworks since the resultant structure can be frequently modulated by various factors such as medium, temperature, metal–ligand ratio, template and counter-ion.

Hydrogen bond is a powerful assembling force in constructing supramolecule and provides useful information to understand a complicated process in biological systems [7–9]. Hydrogen bond is regarded as less robust than the coordination bond in the construction of hybrid inorganic–organic materials. However, this disadvantage can be overcome via forming multiple hydrogen bonds. The neutral 2,2'biimidazole (H_2 biim) is an excellent candidate for construction of hybrid materials via supramolecular interactions [10–23]. It not only coordinates to metal ion but also provides two $N-H$ hydrogen-bond donating sites for multi-dimensional network assembly. Furthermore, it can form robust heteromeric hydrogen-bonded synthons such as $R_2^2(9)$, $R_2^1(7)$ and $C(4)[R_2^2(9)]$ (Scheme 1) with carboxylated in the solid state [24–31]. This type of interaction should be particularly strong, since the $N-H\cdot o$ unit is nearly linear (\sim 165 $^{\circ}$) and the N···O separation (2.68 A) is close to the lower limit of the accepted range [32]. In addition, the carboxylate group and the H_2 biim ligand form a nearly coplanar arrangement. However, the architecture of multi-dimensional networks based on H2biim and dicarboxylates or tricarboxylate into hybrid materials are very rare [29–31].

Our previous work on the synthesis and structural characterization of $[M(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]$ $(X)_2$ (M=Zn²⁺ and Co²⁺, X = acetate or isonicotinate) has shown that two H_2 biim ligands are on the same equatorial plane and trans to each other. The $[M(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ core is further connected to the other two carboxylate anions via strong $N-H...O$ hydrogen bonds [33,34]. It suggests that multidimensional structure can be obtained when

^{*}Corresponding author. Fax: þ86-20-8411-2245. E-mail: cesybh@zsu.edu.cn

ISSN 1061-0278 print/ISSN 1029-0478 online q 2005 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/10610270500225081

SCHEME 1 The robust heteromeric hydrogen-bonded synthons constructured by H₂biim and carboxylates.

dicarboxylate or tricarboxylate anions are employed [29–31,35]. By using this strategy, we report here on the syntheses and structural characterization of four new complexes $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]$ (Hbdc)₂· (H_2biim) $·2H_2O$ (1), $[Zn(H_2biim)_2 \ H_2O)](bdc)$ CH_3OH (2), $[Co(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]$ (Hbtc)·4H₂O (3) and $[Cd(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)$ (bdc)] $\cdot H_2O$ (4) (where $bdc = 1,3$ -benzenedicarboxylate and $btc = 1,3,5$ benzenetricarboxylate), in which three robust hydrogen-bonded synthons $R_2^2(9)$, $R_2^1(7)$ and $C(4) \times$ $[R_2^2(9)]$ (Scheme 1) have been exploited to construct 3-D networks through the assembly of the $[M(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_n]^2$ ⁺ (n = 1,2) building blocks and polycarboxylates.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and General Methods

The reagents and solvents employed were commercially available and used as received without further purification. The C, H and N microanalyses were carried out with a Vario EL elemental analyser. The FT-IR spectra were recorded from KBr pellets in the range $4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ on a Bruker-EQUINOX 55 FT-IR spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 300 MHz spectrometer at 25°C. The ligand H2biim was synthesized in accordance with the published procedure [36].

Synthesis of $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]](Hbdc)_2$ · (H_2biim) $·2H_2O(1)$

To a methanolic solution (10 ml) containing H_2 biim $(0.469 \text{ g}, 3.5 \text{ mmol})$, $Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$ (1 mmol) dissolved in methanol (10 ml) was added. The mixture was refluxed until the ligand had dissolved. An aqueous solution (10 ml) of sodium 1,3-benzenedicarboxylate (0.210 g, 1.0 mmol) was added into the solution. The resulting mixture was adjusted to

 $p \text{ H} \approx 5.0$ with dilute nitric acid, and then stirred at 60° C for 2 h. The insoluble residues were removed by filtration, and the filtrate was evaporated slowly at room temperature for about one week to yield colorless crystalline product (45% yield). $C_{34}H_{36}N_{12}O_{12}Zn$ 1 (870.14); C, 46.89 (calc. 46.99); H, 4.14 (4.17); N, 19.31 (19.18)%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3434– 2529 m, 1604 s, 1536vs, 1477m, 1433 s, 1362vs, 1271 m, 1126 m, 998 m, 894 m, 743 s, 712 s, 689 m.

Synthesis of $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)](bdc) \cdot CH_3OH$ (2)

 $ZnCl₂·6H₂O$ (1 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 ml) and then added into a methanolic solution (10 ml) of H_2 biim (0.268 g, 2 mmol). The mixture was refluxed until the ligand had dissolved. An aqueous solution (10 ml) of sodium 1,3-benzenedicarboxylate (0.210 g, 1.0 mmol) was added into the resulting solution which was then adjusted to $pH \approx 5.4$ with dilute hydrochloric acid, and stirred at 60° C for 2h. The insoluble materials were removed by filtration, and the filtrate was allowed to stand at room temperature. Colorless product was obtained in 50% yield by slow evaporation at room temperature for about one week. $C_{21}H_{22}N_8O_6Zn$ 2 (547.86); C, 45.60 (calc. 46.04); H, 4.02 (4.05); N, 20.44 (20.45)%. IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 3443 m, 3262 br, 2957 m, 2886 m, 27151 m, 2656 w, 1580 vs, 1512 m, 1361 vs, 1333 m, 1262 m, 1170 w, 1150 m, 1105m, 1071 s, 953 m, 898 w, 845 m, 810 m, 746 s, 690 w, 659 m, 667 m, 585 m, 527 m.

Synthesis of $[Co(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]$ (Hbtc)·4H₂O (3)

 $CoCl₂·6H₂O$ (1 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 ml) and added into a methanolic solution (10 ml) of H_2 biim (0.268 g, 2 mmol). The mixture was refluxed until the ligand had dissolved. An aqueous solution (10 ml) of sodium 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate (0.210 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to the resulting solution. The resulting mixture was adjusted to p H \approx 5.4 with dilute hydrochloric acid, and then stirred

Complex		$\overline{2}$	3	4
Molecular formula	$C_{34}H_{36}N_{12}O_{12}Zn$	$C_{21}H_{22}N_8O_6Zn$	$C_{21}H_{28}N_8O_{12}Co$	$C_{20}H_{20}N_8O_6Cd$
Formula weight	870.12	547.85	643.43	580.84
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	P2 ₁ /c	Pbca	P2 ₁ /c	C2/c
	15.013(1)	13.274(1)	12.083(1)	13.403(1)
$a/\text{\AA}$ $b/\text{\AA}$	8.985(1)	15.471(1)	13.180(1)	9.772(1)
c/\AA	14.155(1)	21.836(1)	17.998(1)	34.461(2)
	103.702(2)		102.358(2)	94.208(1)
$\frac{\beta/^{\circ}}{V/\AA^3}$	1855.1(3)	4484.3(4)	2799.7(4)	4501.5(4)
Ζ		8	4	8
	1.558	1.623	1.714	1.623
$\rho_{\rm calc}$ (g cm ⁻³) μ (cm ⁻¹)	0.744	1.154	1.026	1.154
Reflections collected	9431	36735	14421	18937
Data/parameters	3272/269	5398/327	4927/387	5255/324
R_1 (I > 2σ)*	0.0532	0.0357	0.0465	0.0318
wR_2	0.1289	0.1157	0.1292	0.0936

TABLE I Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 1–4

 $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c||/\sum |F_o|, wR_2 = [\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/\sum w(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}$

TABLE II Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $[°]$ for complexes $1-4$

TABLE III Hydrogen bonding parameters in 1–4

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H/\AA$	$H_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$ A/\AA	DA/\AA	$D-H$ A / \circ	Symmetry operation for A
1					
$O(1w)$ -H(1wa) \cdots O(3)	0.82	1.86	2.657	164	$-x$, $-y$, $1-z$
$O(1w)$ -H $(1wb)$ $O(6w)$	0.92	1.88	2.791	170	$-x$, $-1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$O(6w)$ -H $(6wa)$ ··· $O(2)$	0.85	1.88	2.734	177	$x, 3/2 - y, -1/2 + z$
$O(6w)$ -H $(6wb)$ $O(5)$	0.85	1.90	2.751	154	$x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z$
$N(3)-H(3a)\cdots O(2)$	0.86	2.10	2.926	161	$x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z$
$N(3)-H(4a)\cdots O(3)$	0.86	1.87	2.719	169	$x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z$
$O(4w)$ -H $(4wb)$ $N(6)$	0.82	1.83	2.619	163	$1 - x$, $-1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$N(5)-H(5b)\cdots O(5)$	0.86	1.93	2.779	172	$x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z$
$\overline{2}$					
$O(1w)$ -H(1wa) $O(3)$	0.82	1.85	2.667	180	$-1/2 + x$, $1/2 - y$, $1 - z$
$O(1w)$ -H $(1wb)$ $O(5)$	0.81	1.95	2.749	171	$-1/2 + x$, y, 3/2 + z
$N(2)-H(2b)\cdots O(4)$	0.86	1.76	2.607	168	$2 - x$, $- 1/2 + y$, $3/2 - z$
$N(4)-H(4a)\cdots O(5)$	0.86	1.93	2.776	169	$2 - x$, $-1/2 + y$, $3/2 - z$
$N(6)-H(6b)\cdots O(2)$	0.86	1.94	2.729	152	$2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$
$N(8)-H(8b)\cdots O(2)$	0.86	1.96	2.746	151	$2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$
$O(6)$ -H (6) $O(3)$	0.93	2.04	2.810	139	x, y, z
$C(6)-H(6a) \cdots O(6)$	0.93	2.43	3.307	157	x, y, z
$C(7)-H(7a) \cdots O(6)$	0.93	2.58	3.464	158	x, y, z
3					
$O(1w)$ -H(1wa) \cdots O(6)	0.82	1.91	2.719	170	$1 - x$, $1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$O(1w) - H(1wb) \cdots O(11w)$	0.72	2.21	2.871	153	$x, 3/2 - y, 1/2 + z$
$N(2)-H(2a)\cdots O(4)$	0.86	1.91	2.763	173	$x, 1 + y, z$
$O(2w)$ -H $(2wa)$ ··· $O(9w)$	0.82	1.92	2.717	163	$-x$, $1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$O(2w) - H(2wb) \cdots O(4)$	0.84	1.91	2.738	173	$-x$, $1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$N(4)-H(4a)\cdots O(3)$	0.86	1.85	2.703	173	$x, 1 + y, z$
$O(9w)$ -H $(9wa)$ $O(7)$	0.95	2.02	2.964	170	x, y, z
$O(9w)$ -H $(9wb)$ $O(5)$	0.67	2.20	2.857	169	$1 - x$, $1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$N(6)-H(4a)\cdots O(6)$	0.86	1.98	2.835	172	$-1 + x$, $1/2 - y$, $1/2 + z$
$N(8)-H(8a)\cdots O(5)$	0.86	1.86	2.715	171	$-1 + x$, $1/2 - y$, $1/2 + z$
$O(8)$ -H $(8cb)$ $O(12)$	0.82	1.79	2.603	171	$1 - x$, $1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$O(10w) - H(10a) \cdots O(3)$	1.03	1.89	2.870	158	$1 - x$, $1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$O(10w) - H(10b) \cdots O(6)$	0.89	1.88	2.758	167	$x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z$
$O(12w) - H(12b) \cdots O(10w)$	0.85	1.88	2.734	178	x, y, z
$O(12w) - H(12c) \cdots O(4)$	1.00	1.84	2.777	156	X, Y, Z
$O(11)$ -H $(11a)$ ··· $O(12w)$	0.93	2.44	3.361	174	$-x$, 1 – y, 1 – z
4					
$O(1w) - H(1a) \cdots O(3)$	0.89	1.88	2.754	166	$-1/2 + x$, $-1/2 + y$, z
$O(6w)$ -H $(6wa)$ ··· $O(4)$	0.85	2.44	2.822	108	$1 - x$, y, $1/2 - z$
$N(2)-H(2b)\cdots O(4)$	0.86	1.79	2.630	166	$3/2 - x$, $-1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$N(4)-H(4a)\cdots O(5)$	0.86	1.91	2.764	172	$3/2 - x$, $-1/2 + y$, $1/2 - z$
$O(1w)$ -H(1b) \cdots O(6w)	0.91	1.85	2.753	171	X, Y, Z
$N(6)-H(6b)\cdots O(3)$	0.86	1.87	2.726	176	$1 - x$, $1 - y$, $- z$
$N(8)-H(8b)\cdots O(2)$	0.86	1.89	2.704	158	$1 - x$, $1 - y$, $- z$

at 60° C for 2 h. The insoluble components were removed by filtration, and the filtrate was allowed to evaporate at room temperature for about one week. Orange product was collected in 55% yield. $C_{21}H_{28}N_8O_{12}Co$ 3 (643.44); C, 39.17 (calc. 39.20); H, 4.35 (4.39); N, 17.41 (17.42)%. IR (KBr, cm–1): 3533– 2551 vs, 1686 s, 1612 vs, 1561 vs, 1439 vs, 1366 vs, 1263 m, 1184 m, 1123 s, 992 m, 928 m, 761 s, 719 s, 689 s.

Synthesis of $[Cd(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)(bdc)]·H_2O$ (4)

CdCl₂6H₂O (0.5 mmol), H₂biim(0.134 g, 1 mmol) and anhydrous ethanol (3 ml) were added into an aqueous solution (5 ml) of sodium 1,3-benzenedicarboxylate (0.105 g, 0.5 mmol). The resulting mixture was adjusted to $pH \approx 5.1$ with dilute hydrochloric acid and then stirred for 15 minutes in air. The mixture was transferred and sealed in a 16 ml Teflon reactor, which was heated at 120° C for four days and

then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5° C· h⁻¹. Colorless cubic crystals were obtained in 40% yield and they were washed with de-ionized water. $C_{20}H_{20}N_8O_6Cd$ 4 (580.85); C, 41.89 (calc. 41.36); H, 3.32 (3.47); N, 19.77 (19.29)%. IR (KBr, cm–1): 3350– 2551 m, 1599 s, 1551 s, 1519 s, 1434 s, 1371 vs, 1120 s, 988 s, 748 s, 710 m, 688 m.

Crystallography

Diffraction intensities for the complexes 1 to 4 were collected at 293 K on a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Absorption corrections were applied by using SADABS [37]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined with fullmatrix least-squares technique on F^2 using SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 programs, respectively [38,39]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropi-

FIGURE 1 The coordination environment of zinc ion in 1.

cally. The hydrogen atoms on the organic ligands were generated geometrically $(C-H 0.96 \text{ Å})$ and the hydrogen atoms on the water molecules were located from difference maps and refined isotropically. Analytical expressions of neutral-atom scattering factors were employed, and anomalous dispersion corrections were incorporated. Crystal data including details of data collection and refinement for the complexes are summarized in Table I. Selected bond distances and bond angles are listed in Table II. Selected hydrogen-bonding parameters are given in Table III. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for $(1)-(4)$ in CIF format have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center with CCDC nos. 235688–235691. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam. ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK. Fax: +44-1223-336-033; or E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Syntheses and Characterization

All reactions were carried out above $p H = 4.6$ [40] to ensure that the H_2 biim ligand was in neutral form to coordinate with metal ions to form the building blocks $[M(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_n]^2^+$, which could be further assembled with carboxylates via chargeassisted hydrogen bonds into multi-dimensional network. Indeed, complexes 1 and 2 were obtained when the dicarboxylate bdc was introduced. The dicarboxylate connected the two coordinated H2biim ligands into a zigzag chain via hydrogen bonds. Interestingly, when the metal to H_2 biim molar ratio was increased to 1: 3.5 at p H = 5.0, complex 1 was formed, in which one of the H_2 biim molecules was un-coordinated and one of the carboxyl groups was protonated, but they were connected together by two pairs of hydrogen bonds. Furthermore, complex 3 was obtained when btc was replaced by bdc, but one of the carboxyl groups was protonated. From the above observations, we notice that the carboxylate group tends to form pairs of robust double hydrogen bonds with the $N-H$ donor of H2biim via a charge-assisted heteromeric synthon of type $R_2^2(9)$ rather than binding to metal ion. Therefore, a solvothermal reaction was carried out to see whether the carboxylate was really coordinated to metal ion or not in this reaction system. Complex 4 was obtained when a mixture of CdCl₂, H₂biim and bdc were heated at 120 \degree C for four days. Unlike complexes 1 and 2, the bdc ligand is coordinated to Cd^H in 4.

The IR spectra of complexes 1–4 show one broad and strong band centered at $3500 \sim 2550 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which can be assigned to the $\nu(O-H)$ and $\nu(N-H)$ stretching vibrations of the water molecules, protonated carboxyl and H2biim ligands, taking into account for their strong hydrogen-bonding interactions. The characteristic bands for both COOH and $COO⁻$ were observed in complexes $1-4$. The asymmetrical stretching for $COO⁻$ in these compounds was observed in the range of $1536 \sim 1612 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The bands at 1604 and 1686 cm^{-1} in 1 and 3, respectively, can be assigned to the asymmetric stretching of COOH. In complex 1, the shift of 86 cm^{-1} compared to the corresponding COOH stretching in free acids (the COOH group in free 1,3-benzenedicarboxylic acid appears at 1690 cm^{-1}) is presumably due to the formation of robust N $-H$ ^{*}··O hydrogen bonds. The C $-$ O distances (listed in Table II) are also matched with the observed signals in the IR spectra.

Crystal Structures

Complex 1 consists of one $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation, two Hbdc anions, one H_2 biim molecule and two lattice water molecules. As shown in Fig. 1, the Zn^H ion locates at an inversion centre and it is coordinated to four nitrogen atoms from two H_2 biim ligands $[Zn-N(1) = 2.154(3)$ and $Zn-N(2) = 2.138(3)$ A which are *trans* to each other and arranged on the same equatorial plane. Two remaining water molecules $[Zn-O(1W) = 2.161(3)$ a $Ccoupy$ the apical coordination site to furnish an octahedral geometry. The $Zn-N$ distances are comparable to the previously reported values [33,34], but the $Zn-O(1W)$ distance is slightly shorter than that of $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]$ $(\text{ina})_{2'}4H_{2}O$ [Zn–O = 2.218(2) A]. The increase in the $Zn-O(1W)$ distance can be attributed to the formation of hydrogen bonds. The distance of $C(17)$ -O(4) = 1.290(5) A is longer than those of $C(17)$ $-O(5) = 1.228(5)$, $C(16)$ $-O(2) = 1.237(5)$ and $C(16) - O(3) = 1.250(5)$ A, indicating that the O(4) atom of carboxyl group is protonated and the

FIGURE 2 2-D hydrogen-bonded motif on the bc plane in 1. All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.

Hbdc ligand contains a carboxylate group. Each $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ building unit attaches to two Hbdc anions via a charge-assisted $DD = AA$ hydrogen bonds $[N(3)\cdots O(2)] = 2.926$ and $N(4)\cdots O(3) = 2.719 \text{ Å}$ to give a neutral molecular complex $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]$ (Hbdc)₂. The two protonated carboxyl groups are further connected to the free H_2 biim molecules via robust heteromeric $DA = DA$ hydrogen bonds $[O(4)\cdots N(6) = 2.619$ and $N5 \cdot .05 = 2.779 \text{\AA}$] by $C(4)[R_2^2(9)]$ synthon (Scheme 1) to form an infinite ribbon along the c-axis, as shown in Fig. 2. The O-H···N hydrogen bond $[O(4)$ ⁻H···N(6) = 2.619 A^{$\]$} is significantly shorter than those of the $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds $[N(5)-H\cdots O(5) = 2.779 \text{ Å}, N(3)-H\cdots O(2) = 2.926 \text{ Å}$ and $N(4)$ -H···O(3) = 2.719 A^{J}. These chains are further interlinked together via hydrogen bonds $[O(6w)\cdots O(2) = 2.734,$ $O(5)\cdots O(6w) = 2.751,$ $O(1w)\cdots O(3) = 2.657$, $O(6w)\cdots O(1) = 2.791$ Å | to assemble into a 2-D layer lying parallel to the bc-plane and the coordinated water molecules lie above and below the hydrogen-bonded layer respectively. Adjacent layers are interlinked by the coordinating water molecules O(1W), the lattice water molecule O(6W) and the oxygen atom O(3) on the carboxylate group to form a 3-D framework (Fig. 3).

Complex 2 is built of a $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)]^{2+}$ cation, a bdc anion and one CH₃OH molecule. Each Zn^H ion is coordinated by four nitrogen atoms from two H₂biim $[Zn-N(1) = 2.061(2), Zn-N(3) =$ 2.148(2), $Zn-N(5) = 2.082(2)$, $Zn-N(7) = 2.193(2)$ A] and a water molecule $[Zn-O(1W) = 2.023(2)$ A] resulting in a distorted square pyramid and the Zn^{II} ion deviates from the least-square plane [defined by $N(1)$, $N(3)$, $N(5)$ and $N(7)$] by 0.4 A, as shown in Fig. 4. The above $Zn-N$ and $Zn-O$ bond distances are comparable to those found in the five-coordinated complex $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(HCO_2)](ClO_4)$, in which the Zn-N and Zn-O distances are $2.043 \sim 2.231$ and 2.006 Å, respectively [41].

Each $[Zn(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)]^{2+}$ building unit in complex 2 links with two bdc anions via charge-assisted heteromeric $DD = AA$ hydrogen bonds $[N(2)\cdots O(4)]$ $= 2.607$, N(4)…O(5) $= 2.776$, N(6)…O(2) $= 2.729$ and $N(8)\cdots O(2) = 2.746$ A] to form a zigzag chain along the c-axis, as shown in Fig. 5. Two types of robust synthons, namely $R_2^2(9)$ and $R_2^1(7)$ (Scheme 1) were found in complex 2, whereas $R_2^2(9)$ and $C(4)[R_2^2(9)]$ were found in complex 1. It is the coordinated water molecule that connects the oxygen atoms O(3) and O(5) of two carboxylates from two adjacent chains respectively via O-H···O hydrogen bonds $[O(1w)\cdots O(3) = 2.667(2)$ and $O(1w)\cdots O(5) = 2.749$ (2) A [to form a 2-D layer parallel to the bc -plane (see Fig. 5). Interestingly, the $CH₃OH$ molecules are clathrated in the cavities. The oxygen atom of methanol points to the larger coordinate-angle site of $N(3)$ -Zn- $N(5)$ (100.5°, the other coordinated angles on the equatorial plane are 79.4, 79.7 and

FIGURE 3 3-D network formed by hydrogen bonds in 1. All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.

FIGURE 4 The coordination environment of zinc ion in 2.

 92.0°) and the solvent molecule is further stabilized by C $-H \cdots$ O hydrogen bonds $[C(6) \cdots O(6) = 3.307$ and $C(7) \cdot O(6) = 3.464$ Å]. All of the aqua ligands are arranged in the same direction (either above or below the layer) within a layer. These layers are further assembled into double layers in a head-to-head fashion (Fig. 6) via $O-H...O$ hydrogen bonds $[O(1w)\cdots O(3) = 2.667 \text{ Å}$ and $O(1w)\cdots O(5) = 2.749 \text{ Å}$ and strong $\pi-\pi$ interactions (3.423 Å) between the H_2 biim ligands. The O-H bonds of methanol molecules are pointing away from both sides of the double layers, and further interlink adjacent double layers carboxylate oxygen $O(3)$ via $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen bonds $[O(6)\cdots O(3) = 2.797 \text{ Å}]$ in a tail-to-tail fashion to form a complicated 3-D network (Fig. 6).

Complex 3 consists of a $[Co(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation, a Hbtc anion and four lattice water molecules. The coordination environment of Co^H ion is very similar to that of complex 1. The oxygen atom $O(8)$ is protonated which can be confirmed by the IR spectra (see above) and the measured C \sim O bond distances. The bond length of $C(21)$ -O(8) $[1.317(4)$ Å] is significantly longer than those of $C(21)$ -O(7) $[1.211(4)\text{Å}]$, C(20) $-O(3)$ $[1.232(3)\text{Å}]$, C(20) $-O(4)$

FIGURE 5 View of the layer on the bc plane in 2. All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity and ethanol molecules (oxygen, black; carbon, grey) were drawn in space filling.

FIGURE 6 The assembly of layers into 3-D network in head-tohead and tail-to-tail arrangement in 2. All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity and methanol molecules were shown in space filling.

[1.270(3)Å], C(19) $-O(5)$ [1.240(4)Å] and C(19) $-O(6)$ $[1.267(4)\text{\AA}]$.

Each $[Co(H_2biim)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ building block is connected to two Hbtc anions via $N-H...O$ charge-assisted $DD = AA$ hydrogen bonds $[N(2)\cdots O(4) = 2.763, N(4)\cdots O(3) = 2.704, N(6)\cdots$ $O(6) = 2.836$ and $N(8)\cdots O(5) = 2.715 \text{ Å}$ to form a ribbon extending along the c-axis. These chains are further linked together via $O-H...O$ hydrogen bonds between the carboxyl oxygen atoms O(8) and O(3) as well as the lattice water molecules $O(12W)$ and $O(10W)$ $[O(8)\cdots O(12W) = 2.603$, $O(12W) \cdots O(10W) = 2.734$ and $O(10W) \cdots O(3) =$ 2.870 Å into 2-D layer, as shown in Fig. 7. These layers are further connected together by multiple hydrogen bonds between the carboxylate oxygens and the lattice molecules $[O(1W)\cdots O(6) = 2.719$, $O(2W)\cdots O(4) = 2.738$, $O(2W)\cdots O(9W) = 2.717$, $O(10W)\cdots O(6) = 2.758$, $O(9W)\cdots O(5) = 2.857$ and $O(12W)\cdots O(4) = 2.777 \text{ Å}$ as well as strong $\pi-\pi$ interactions between H_2 biim and the phenyl ring (3.35 Å) , and between H₂biim and H₂biim (3.27 Å) to form a 3-D network.

Complex 4 was synthesized under hydrothermal condition and it consists of a neutral $[Cd(H₂biim)₂]$ $(bdc)(H₂O)$] molecule and a lattice water molecule. Each Cd^H ion is coordinated to four nitrogen atoms of two H₂biim $[Cd-N(1) = 2.278(2), Cd-N(3) =$ 2.304(2), Cd-N(5) = 2.360(2), Cd-N(7) = 2.291(2) Å], two oxygen atoms from carboxylate $[Cd-O(2) = 2.485(2)$ Å and water molecule $[Cd-O(1W) = 2.376(2)$ Å to form a distorted octahedron, as shown in Fig. 8. The bond distance of $O(2)$ –C(19) [1.269(3) Å] is slightly longer than those of $O(3)$ –C(19) [1.241(3) Å], $O(4)$ –C(20) [1.257(3) Å] and $O(5)$ - $C(20)$ [1.242(3) Å]. These may be attributed to the formation of heteromeric hydrogen-bonded synthon $R_2^2(9)$ between the carboxylate groups and the un-coordinated nitrogen atoms of H_2 biim (Scheme 1). The hydrogen bond can also affect the electron delocalization in the carboxylate group, which can be reflected by the measured bond distances. The dihedral angle between the phenyl ring of bdc and H_2 biim plane is about 6.8°,

FIGURE 7 2-D hydrogen-bonded motif in 3. All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.

and a strong $\pi-\pi$ interaction with a face-to-face distance of 3.36 Å is observed between these two planes.

A pair of $\text{[Cd(H}_2\text{biim})_2(\text{OH}_2)(\text{bdc})$] building blocks form a dimer in a head-to-tail fashion by $N-H \cdots O$ charge-assisted $DD = AA$ hydrogen bonds $[N(6)\cdots O(3) = 2.726$ and $N(8)\cdots O(2) = 2.704$ Å] and π – π interactions between the H₂biim ligands (3.673 Å) . These dimeric units are further connected together to form a double-strand via hydrogen bonds between the coordinated water molecule O(1W) and carboxylate oxygen O(3) $[O(1w)\cdots O(3) = 2.754 \text{ Å}]$ as shown in Fig. 9. The double strands are further assembled into a 3-D network via hydrogen bonds between the free carboxylate and H_2 biim $[N(2)\cdots O(4) = 2.630, N(4)\cdots O(5) = 2.764 \text{ Å}].$

$C(2)$ $\text{I}(10)$ $N(2)$ $C(R)$ $C(1)$ $C(7)$ $N(5)$ NO₁ CIS $N(6)$ $N(7)$ $C(10)$ $N(3)$ $C(5)$ $N(8)$ $C(6)$ $C(12)$ $C(11)$ $C(15)$ $O(2)$ $C(14)$ $C(16)$ $O(4)$ $C(19)$ $C(20)$ $C(13)$ $\overline{C}(17)$ $O(3)$ $C(18)$ $O(5)$

FIGURE 8 The coordination environment of Cd^H ion in 4.

CONCLUSIONS

Four new complexes based on the $[M(H_2biim)_2]$ $(H_2O)_n$ ²⁺ building blocks and carboxylates have been synthesized and characterized by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction. The results show that the

FIGURE 9 View of the dimeric structure extending into doublestrand chain along *a*-axis via $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen-bonds in 4.
All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.

charge-assisted heteromeric synthons such as $R_2^2(9)$, $R_2^1(7)$ and $C(4)[R_2^2(9)]$ constructed by the coordinated H_2 biim or un-coordinated H_2 biim and carboxylate via $N-H \oplus O$ and $O-H \oplus N$ hydrogen bonds are robust enough to act as a 'supramolecular glue' to assemble coordination complexes and carboxylates into multidimensional hybrid inorganic–organic materials.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NSF of China (No. 20371052) and Guangdong Province (No. 031581).

References

- [1] Leininger, S.; Olenyuk, B.; Stang, P. J. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 853.
- [2] Swiegers, G. F.; Malefetse, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3483.
- [3] Moulton, B.; Zaworotko, M. J. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 1629.
- [4] Roesky, H. W.; Andruh, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 236, 91.
- [5] Barnett, S. A.; Chempness, N. R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 246, 145.
- [6] Ye, B.-H.; Tong, M.-L.; Chen, X.-M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 545.
- [7] Jeffrey, D. A.; Saenger, W. Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1991.
- [8] Etter, M. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 120.
- [9] Beatty, A. M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 246, 131.
- [10] Schwiebert, K. E.; Chin, D. N.; MacDonald, J. C.; Whitesides,
- G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 4018. [11] MacDonald, J. C.; Iversen, B. B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1239.
- [12] MacDonald, J. C.; Dorrestein, P. C.; Pilley, M. M. Cryst. Growth Des. 2001, 1, 29.
- [13] Akutagawa, T.; Hasegawa, T.; Nakamura, T.; Inabe, T.; Saito, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 4402.
- [14] Akutagawa, T.; Hasegawa, T.; Nakamura, T.; Inabe, T.; Saito, G. Cryst. Eng. Comm. 2003, 5, 54.
- [15] Tadokoro, M.; Nakasuji, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 198, 205.
- [16] Aakeröy, C. B.; Hitchcock, P. B. J. Mater. Chem. 1993, 3, 1129.
- [17] Aakeröy, C. B.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10983.
- [18] Aakeröy, C. B.; Hughes, D. P. B.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10134.
- [19] Czugler, M.; Angyan, J. G.; Naray-Szabo, G.; Weber, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1275.
- [20] Czugler, M.; Kalman, A.; Weber, E.; Ahrendt J. Supramol. Chem. 1993, 1, 163.
- [21] Fuller, J.; Carlin, R. T.; Simpson, L. J.; Furtak, T. E. Chem. Mater. 1995, 7, 909.
- [22] Hong, S. S.; Romstedt, K. J.; Feller, D. R.; Hsu, F. -L.; George, C.; Cupps, T. L.; Lyon, R. A.; Miller, D. D. Chirality 1992, 4, 432.
- [23] Trivedi, D. R.; Ballabh, A.; Dastidar, P. Cryst. Eng. Comm. 2003, 5, 358.
- [24] Boinnard, A.; Cassoux, P.; Petrouleas, V.; Savariault, J.-M.; Tuchagues, J.-P. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 4114.
- [25] Martinez-Lorente, M. A.; Daham, F.; Sanakis, Y.; Petrouleas, V.; Bousseksou, A.; Tuchagues, J.-P. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 5346.
- [26] Fortin, S.; Beauchamp, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 105.
- [27] Ramirez, K.; Reyes, J. A.; Briceno, A.; Atencio, R. Cryst. Eng. Comm. 2002, 6, 208.
- [28] Rau, S.; Böttcher, L.; Schebesta, S.; Stollenz, M.; Görls, H.; Walther, D. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 2800.
- Atencio, R.; Chacón, M.; González, T.; Briceño, A.; Agrifoglio, G.; Sierraalta, A. Dalton Trans. 2004, 505.
- [30] Larsson, K.; Öhrström, L. Cryst. Eng. Comm. 2004, 6, 354.
- [31] Öhrström, L.; Larsson, K. Dalton Trans. 2004, 347.
- [32] Stout, G. H.; Jensen, L. H. X-ray Structure Determination. A Practical Guide; Macmillan: New York, 1968.
- [33] Ye, B. -H.; Xue, F.; Xue, G. -Q.; Ji, L. -N.; Mak, T. C. W. Polyhedron 1999, 18, 1785.
- [34] Ye, B. -H.; Ding, B. -B.; Weng, Y.-Q.; Chen, X.-M. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6866.
- [35] Ye, B.-H.; Ding, B.-B.; Weng, Y. -Q.; Chen, X. -M. Cryst. Growth Des. 2005, 5, 801.
- [36] Bernarducci, E. E.; Bharadwa, P. K.; Lalancette, R. A.; Krogh-Jes-Persen, K.; Potenza, J. A.; Schugar, H. J. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 3911.
- [37] Blessing, R. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 1995, 51, 33.
- [38] Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97, Program for Crystal Structure Solution; Göttingen University: Germany, 1997.
- [39] Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; Göttingen University: Germany, 1997.
- [40] Akutagawa, T.; Saito, G. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 1753.
- [41] Kirchner, C.; Krebs, B. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 3569.